Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/750,052	GROVE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Yogesh C. Garg	3625
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) Yogesh C. Garg.	(3)	
(2) Karen L. Kaufman.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 October 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ ☐ ☐	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI Examiner suggested to amend claim 51 by adding the term "n "A" and before the letter "machine", amend claim 87 by adding line of claim 87 after the word "process" and before the word " condition for allowance. The Applicant's attorney Karen Kaufma	on-transitory" in the first line of the p the phrase " executed from a memo lowering", and cancel claim 116 to p	premable of claim 51 after letter bry by a processor" in the third place the instant application in
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa	he examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Nearth Count		
/Yogesh C Garg/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3625	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)